



JOB SATISFACTION: A CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

Dr. Shruti Gupta

Assistant Professor, Department of Commerce, Zakir Husain Delhi College, University of Delhi

Abstract: Job satisfaction has long been a topic of interest in organizational studies, often considered a direct precursor to improved productivity. However, research has revealed that the relationship between job satisfaction and productivity is far more complex than initially assumed. While it is often believed that satisfied employees are more productive, studies suggest that dissatisfied workers may, at times, outperform their satisfied counterparts. This paper explores the intricacies of measuring job satisfaction and the various factors that influence it. Additionally, the paper examines several variables closely associated with job satisfaction, including job involvement, locus of control, and central life interests, and their role in shaping an individual's perception of satisfaction. Ultimately, the paper seeks to deepen the understanding of job satisfaction by exploring its multidimensional nature and the various organizational and individual factors that contribute to it.

Keywords: Job satisfaction, Dissatisfaction, Productivity, Performance

Introduction

Job satisfaction surveys have been going on for many decades now. Studies have shown the complexity of measuring job satisfaction and the challenges involved in understanding its true determinants. Job satisfaction is not simply a matter of liking or disliking one's job, it is influenced by a host of personal, organizational, and situational factors. As such, job satisfaction cannot be reduced to a single measure or easily categorised as a 'yes' or 'no' response. The same job may be satisfying for some and not satisfying for others.

Interest in job satisfaction arose because of its perceived direct relationship with productivity in an organization. That is, higher the satisfaction, higher will be the productivity. Early research indicated a strong connection between the two, but subsequent



studies have revealed that job satisfaction does not always directly correlate with productivity. In fact, it has been found that a dissatisfied worker may sometimes achieve higher productivity than a satisfied one. The relationship between satisfaction and productivity is moderated by many intervening variables such as personal motivation, external incentives, or individual work habits. The other extreme view is that it is better to have satisfied workers than dissatisfied workers. That is, satisfaction is desirable by nature; whether it leads to higher productivity or not is a separate question.

Job satisfaction is a key concept in the field of organizational behaviour, playing a significant role in both individual and organizational performance. Given that most employees spend a substantial portion of their time engaged in work-related tasks, the satisfaction they experience in their jobs affects not only their behaviour on the job but also their lives off the job. Research has consistently shown that satisfied employees tend to exhibit better attendance, lower turnover rates, less engagement in union activities, and higher overall performance compared to those who are less satisfied with their work.

Various attitudes possessed by an employee determine the extent of job satisfaction. It is a general attitude that emerges from a combination of specific attitudes across three areas: job-related factors, personal traits, and group relationships both inside and outside the workplace. The complexity of job satisfaction arises from the fact that it does not simply reflect one aspect of the job, but rather a combination of different elements that collectively shape an employee's overall sense of fulfilment and engagement at work. To assess employee satisfaction within an organization, a beginning is usually made by measuring their satisfaction with important aspects of the job such as pay, promotion, and recognition and then these individual results are aggregated to determine overall job satisfaction. Common items used to assess satisfaction include:

- Work
- Pay or salary
- Promotion



- Recognition
- Employee benefits
- Work environment
- Management and supervision
- Relationship with co-workers
- Company culture and management

Job Satisfaction and Performance

A satisfied worker is a productive worker. This was the general impression based on common sense. Researches however found very little relationship between job satisfaction and performance. Even now the satisfaction-performance controversy rages on.

Two approaches try to see how employee satisfaction and performance are related. The human relations approach mentions that managers could increase productivity by increasing the morale of their employees. The second approach is that rewards which are based on performance lead to both satisfaction and subsequent performance. In the two approaches mentioned above, job satisfaction in the first approach is an independent variable and in the later it is a dependent one.

Utility of Job Satisfaction Measures

Since job satisfaction is a desirable management practice, measuring employee satisfaction can be taken as indicating success of management policies and practices, like job enlargement, supervisory training, participative management, group decision-making, employee welfare programmes, bonus or incentive payment system and so forth. They can be used for predicting future absences or turnover among workers. Additionally, they can be used to test different theories related to attitudes, motivation, and the specific factors that contribute to satisfaction and its correlations. Finally, measuring job satisfaction allows organizations to determine what percent of the population are satisfied and what percent



are dissatisfied with their jobs, which can be valuable for internal assessments or for making cross-cultural and longitudinal comparisons.

Job satisfaction and morale are often used interchangeably, but they have distinct meanings. However, 'job satisfaction' should be employed when the worker is thought of as an individual and 'morale' when the worker is thought of as a member of a group. An employee is both an individual and part of one or more groups, so it is important to consider both their job satisfaction and their morale. Surveys focused on morale should address aspects related to the employee's connection with their company, union, and department colleagues.

Morale is future-oriented, while job satisfaction pertains to the present and past. Additionally, morale reflects the emotions of the group, whereas job satisfaction generally refers to an individual employee's personal evaluation of their work environment.

Job Satisfaction and Motivation

Job satisfaction and job motivation are related but distinct concepts. Satisfaction refers to a state of contentment, while motivation is about putting effort towards achieving a goal. There are four extreme types of employees:

Contented, relaxed worker: Some individuals find satisfaction in a calm, low-pressure work environment. If required to work too hard, they would feel dissatisfied with their job.

Disgruntled, disengaged worker: Stressful employees fit into this category. They dislike their jobs but avoid being fired by giving just enough output. They are driven primarily by economic necessity.

Dissatisfied, hard-working person: Many people with a professional mindset continue to work hard even when they are dissatisfied. They do this so as not to damage one's reputation by performing poorly.



Well-satisfied, high- achieving worker: A person in this category is motivated toward personal fulfilment and success. Emerging small business owners often fall into this category, driven by satisfaction and a strong work ethic.

Job satisfaction involves more than simply not being unhappy with one's job. The factors causing job satisfaction are separate from those causing dissatisfaction. People generally perceive satisfaction as stemming from within themselves, while dissatisfaction is seen as arising from the work environment. Dissatisfaction occurs when obstacles prevent an individual from reaching their goal. The behaviour stemming from dissatisfaction is very different from the calm that comes with achieving a goal. Thus, the opposite of job satisfaction is not job dissatisfaction, but rather the absence of job satisfaction.

Job Involvement

Job involvement is conceptually distinct from job satisfaction. An employee who is involved in their job is someone who takes it seriously, perceives important values tied to the job, and is mentally absorbed in it. If you are deeply involved in your work, you are really engaged with it, and your job satisfaction or dissatisfaction is more strongly influenced by your level of involvement. In contrast, a less involved employee is likely to have less intense emotional reactions to job-related situations.

It is better to look upon job involvement as a component of self-image. Even if a person is dissatisfied with the work, he may be involved because of his concern for self-image. Identification (or dedication) is the theme of job involvement. For a job involved person the work is a very important part of life.

Central Life Interest

Central life interest measures the degree of the employee's work involvement vis-a-vis non-work activities. Self-actualization on the job may not be important for those whose actualization comes from settings outside the job. For such persons, the job provide means to an end rather than an end in itself. They approach job in a detached manner. If their



income is inadequate to pursue real life interests then extreme dissatisfaction results. Such persons can put up with job conditions which a job-oriented person finds highly frustrating.

Locus of Control

Differences in people's behaviour are based on their beliefs, opinions, perceptions, and expectations. New information may alter behaviour. Yet certain predispositions may remain stable. A particular belief system can predispose certain behaviours. It is called locus of control that is, the degree to which a person believes that his actions can influence his outcomes.

If a person feels that his life outcomes are under his control then he is said to have an internal locus of control. People who believe that life outcomes are due to luck, fate or powerful others, such people have external locus of control. The internal-external control construct differs from the concepts like hopelessness, helplessness, competence, etc. This control is an integral unit of a theory. It is not a motivational variable.

Theories of job satisfaction have been developed to identify determinants and their impact on attitudes of workers. Other researchers instead have adopted an empirical approach, seeking simply to determine those factors affecting job satisfaction or dissatisfaction.

Factors affecting Job Satisfaction

Job Satisfaction is caused by many inter-related factors. However, the importance of these factors appears to change from one situation to another.

Personal Factors

- Sex
- Age
- Length of service
- Education Level
- Number of Dependents



- Intelligence
- Personality, Exclusive of Intelligence
- Orientation to Work

Factors Inherent in the Job

- Type of Work
- Variety
- Autonomy
- Use of Skills and Abilities
- Considerate Leadership
- Occupational Status
- Pay and Promotional Opportunities
- Hours of Work and Working Conditions
- The Work Group
- Security
- Participation and Personal Recognition
- Geography
- Size of Plant

Conclusion

Job satisfaction has been a highly researched topic in organizational behaviour, but the factors that determine satisfaction and its positive effects are still not fully understood. While early research suggested a direct link between job satisfaction and productivity, subsequent studies have revealed that this relationship is far more nuanced, with many intervening variables influencing the connection between satisfaction and performance. The challenge of measuring job satisfaction continues to be one of the primary hurdles in research, as it is a reflection of various attitudes and experiences related to work.



Furthermore, job satisfaction is closely intertwined with other constructs, such as job involvement, locus of control, and central life interest. Locus of control is seen as a generalized expectancy that influences behaviour across different situations. Central life interest has been viewed with respect to a job-oriented person centering his life on the job. For such a person, major satisfaction and rewards, as well as deepest disappointments and frustrations are connected with the work. An employee's sense of fulfilment and engagement at work can be influenced by personal traits, the nature of their job, and the relationships they maintain within the organization. As organizations continue to prioritize employee well-being and productivity, understanding and measuring job satisfaction becomes crucial for informed decision-making and policy development.

The interest that industrial organizational psychologists have in job satisfaction goes back some 80 years. It remains one of the most extensively studied topics in the field. Theories on job satisfaction, though numerous, still leave much to be explored. Despite the progress made in identifying the determinants of satisfaction, there is still no full proof explanation for why employees feel satisfied or dissatisfied. Each individual's experience with their job is shaped by a combination of internal factors, job-related aspects, and the broader organizational context.

References

Davis, K. (1980), Human Relations at Work- Organizational Behaviour, New Delhi: Tata McGraw Hill.

Estabrook, Leigh, Bird Chloe, and Gilmore, Frederick L. (1990), "Job Satisfaction", Journal of Library Administration 13, no. 1-2 ,November 7, pp.175–194.

Jones, Gareth R. (2004), Organizational Theory, Design, and Change Text and Cases, New Delhi: Pearson Education.



Locke, E. A. (1976), The nature and causes of job satisfaction. In. M. D. Dunnette (Ed.), Handbook of industrial and organizational psychology pp. 1297-1349, New York: John Wiley & Sons.

Luthans, Fred. (2011), Organizational Behavior: An Evidence- Based Approach, New York: McGraw-Hill Irwin.

Mishra, P. K. (2013), "Job Satisfaction", IOSR Journal of Humanities and Social Science 14, no. 5, pp. 45–54.

Moorhead, Gregory and Griffin, Ricky W. (2000), Organizational Behavior- Managing People and Organizations, Boston: Houghton Mifflin Company.

Orisatoki, R. and Oguntibej, O. (2010), "Preferred type of work and job satisfaction", Journal of Research Health science, Issue10 (1), pp. 42-46.

Sharma, R.D., and Jyoti, J. (2009), "Job satisfaction of University teachers," Journal of Services Research, 9 (2), pp. 51-80.

Sowmya, K. R., and Panchanatham, N. (2011), "Factors influencing job satisfaction of banking sector employees in Chennai, India", Journal of Law and Conflict Resolution, 3(5), pp.76-79.