International Research Journal of Commerce and Law
Volume 06 Issue 09, September 2018 ISSN: 2349-705X Impact Factor: 4.616
Journal Homepage: http://ijmr.net.in, Email: irjmss@gmail.com
Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International Journal

Mobile Banking application and it's concept and factors in customer service

Shekhar Gupta
(PhD Scholar)
Faculty of Management & Commerce
J.S. University, Shikohabad,
Firozabad, U.P.

Dr. Akhilesh Upadhyay
(Assistant Professor)
Faculty of Management & Commerce
J.S. University, Shikohabad,
Firozabad, U.P.

Abstract:
With the emergence of the internet and smart phones, mobile banking via mobile financial factors such as growth, which might be dubbed the cutting-edge manner of payment, was developed. With mobile payments, we may conduct transactions for items and services using a mobile phone, such as a smartphone or a smart phone. These wireless connectivity technologies can help us with transactions, tickets, and digital payment of fees and levies, among other things. Mobile wallets are a type of mobile payments device that may be used to conduct a variety of financial transactions. Mobile banking, in my opinion, will be equally as crucial as ATM machines. Consumers will benefit from mobile payment in the future because it provides an easy, secure method of payment. Daily transactions may be completed in a secure and quick manner. In the banking industry, efforts have been made to make monetary transactions easier. In the financial industry, mobile banking is gaining traction. To make a payment, a transfer, or a withdrawal, customers must go to the bank in person.
Keywords: *Mobile banking, payment, wirelesses, smart phone, electronic, transactions, perceived.*

1. Introduction:

With the emergence of the internet and smart phones, mobile banking via mobile financial factors such as growth, which might be dubbed the cutting-edge manner of payment, was formed. With mobile payments, we may conduct transactions for items and services using a mobile phone, such as a cell phone or a smart phone. These wireless transmission techniques can help us with transactions, tickets, and electronic fee payment and levies, among other things. Mobile wallets are a type of mobile direct debit device that can be used to conduct a variety of financial transactions. In India, there are currently more than 150 million mobile phone users, a number that is expected to triple in the next five years. According to studies, Between 2015 and 2018, the Indian mobile banking market is expected to grow by 30%. a company (Bureau, 2016). Young Indians are more interested in different mobile technologies such as mobile wallets since they like to utilise them for all of their financial needs (Varghese, 2012). Mobile banking apps have exploded in popularity in India and abroad due to their simplicity of use and convenience.

The use of online payment system, particularly mobile banking applications, has expanded in recent years as a result of greater digitalisation, where the digital banking and pandemics have been important accelerators. The government is also encouraging Indians to make payments through mobile banking requests. Initially, the purpose of demonetization was to battle black money and counterfeit notes, as well as to encourage online transactions in order to build a paperless economy; with epidemic, the purpose was to prevent the diseases from spreading among a large number of people. As a result, given the widespread use of mobile payment systems these days, the current study aims to determine the impact of factors such as perceived effectiveness, facilitating conditions of use, potential benefits, perceived security, perceived risk, company image, and social influence, where perception trust and perceived mindset are attempting to mediate variables influencing the user's intention to use mobile payment apps. In this paper, an attempt is made to quantify the direct and indirect impact of various factors, as well as to introduce and continue to examine the impact of categorisation moderators of gender-male and female, progression and low, marital social position and unmarried, income-high middle and low middle, education-basic and unique, as well as mega group analysis of two extra moderating effects time of life X, Y, and Z, as well as income levels (high, middle, and low). A tool has been created as a consequence of pre-testing and pilot testing. A suitable seven-point scale was employed in the descriptive cross-sectional study design. The spss statistical programme for questionnaires and the Fast PLS-3 technology Proof of data normality, as well as univariate and multivariate hypotheses, were provided. Switch and path analytics in SPSS, as well as PLS-SEM assessment, are used to collect data. Researchers discovered

that aspects such social effect, perceived utility, usefulness, and simplicity of use, stated value, perceived risk, and perceived dangers, as well as business image, had a substantial direct impact on user attitudes and trust, leading to the adoption of mobile wallet applications. In addition, the study revealed a substantial mediating influence of gender - male on user contentment, low advancement in the case of perceived utility and brand trust, and unmarried position in the case of user satisfaction, perceived, and corporate reputation. as in case of risk perception, and marital status The perceived security of the upper middle class was also influenced. Following that, views of benefits were impacted by basic education, whereas danger perceptions were influenced by special education. Based on income levels (poor, medium, and rich), all generations, X, Y, and Z, have varied degrees of effect on all connections, which leads to mobile banking application users' usage intents. The creation of a structural equation model for a mobile banking application is a one-of-a-kind task. This study will help to understand the concept of dependency among a variety of elements that are directly or indirectly related to mobile payment apps. This study will aid all mobile wallet companies, such as Paytm, Google, and Amazon, as well as the government, in understanding the interrelationships between the different factors of mobile banking applications, and will eventually lead to a better understanding of the attitudes and actual usage of digital payment mobile users.

Google Image.

1.1. Beginning of Mobile Banking:

Coca-Cola was an early adopter of the mobile payment trend, allowing customers to order drinks by text message. Exxon Mobile introduced contactless payment the next year, and since then, the use of SMS and RFID Smartphone payment systems has been on the rise. In 2006, PayPal launched a specialised mobile banking services in the United States and the United Kingdom, paving the path for mobile banking to become commonplace. The online payment giant gained credibility and respect in the mobile payment realms as its popularity expanded. As a result, the use of digital payment methods grew in popularity, ushering in the era of mobile phones.

1.1.1. Mobile Wallet:

A smartphone wallet is a type of mobile wallet that allows users to utilise a smartphone app to make payments, check financial information, and pay for items. To accelerate up the payment process, the mobile wallet stores card payment data on the device itself.

Many people confuse mobile and digital wallet, which may appear to be the same thing, but they are not. The key distinction between a mobile banking and an e - wallets is that a digital wallet may be accessible from either a

computer or a smartphone, but a mobile payment can only be utilised on a smartphone. Because of its simplicity, the mobile banking model quickly gained traction. A mobile payment app must be downloaded and registered by the user. Then, in a couple of seconds, mobile banking is feasible.

1.2. Role of mobile Banking application in life:

Since the application's inception, mobile payment has been a must since it allows customers to get things done effortlessly and swiftly. Many apps are available to handle any difficulties now that wireless technologies are becoming more popular for supporting humanity's company and having to service customers and enterprises. The key issue is deciding which mobile payment option is best for the company. In today's society, allowing mobile payments is critical. What exactly is the rationale behind this? This is because individuals strive for a more refined lifestyle. Accepting mobile payments helps you to make purchasing your products easier for your clients. Offering mobile payment gives you a competitive edge in terms of revenue. Because the majority of customers use mobile devices, allowing them to pay using a banking app is a natural fit. Any promos or specials you run will be simple to manage for both you and your consumers! Rather than providing them advertising vouchers, it is preferable to deal with this utilising internet tools. Given how so much people depend on their phones these days, customers may simply show or submit their phone to the shop if they want to take advantage of any promotional discounts. In today's digital environment, it's critical to offer the fastest payment option possible, or you potentially lose your customer base. Accepting a variety of payment options will set you apart from the competitors. People like to execute transactions in the simplest manner possible. You'll save your customers time all throughout payment process, associated with taking mobile payments, and you'll be able to keep track of them effortlessly. Believe it or not, by 2025, almost half of all transactions will be made without the need of cash. Consider a world where there is no currency, you can't go outside to eat since you don't have a wallet, and all is stored on your smartphone. I believe that when that day comes, mobile banking should be just as crucial as ATM machines. Consumers will benefit in the future from mobile payment since it gives an easy, safe, and fast way to accomplish daily purchases. In the banking industry, efforts have been made to make monetary transactions easier. In the financial industry, online payment is gaining traction. To make a payment, a transfer, or a withdrawal, customers must go to the bank in person. All of this is achievable with the internet banking smartphone application. When it comes to financial transactions, Smartphone applications allow you to pay for goods and services without having to go to the bank. All of this was inconceivable in the 1980s, but thanks to the spread of mobile apps on our phones, it has become a reality.

Google Image

1.2.1. Mobile Banking Preferred Over Other Methods

Why don't make this procedure mobile as well, as everything else is? Mobile payment solutions make more sense because youth of today make up the majority of the working population. Mobile banking is quite popular for a multitude of reasons.

- **The benefits of mobile banking over the other existing payment methods are as follows:**

1.2.2. Helps the Banking System:

As per a World Bank estimate, approximately 1.7 billion people without a deposit account, with China and India leading the way with 224 million and 191 million people missing accounts, respectively.
Customers who are financially disadvantaged can use mobile wallets to get banking services in regions where banks seem unable to reach them or where banking is not practicable. It would also make it easier for the government to keep track of unclaimed assets.

1.2.3. Eliminates Financial Scam:

The principal mode of operation utilised in recent financial crimes occurs when personally identifiable information including such credit card details is acquired. The fraudsters then use the four-digit security code to transfer funds. However, in a world built on mobile transactions, such blunders are less likely. Face recognition, fingerprint recognition, and voice verification are all examples of biometric technologies that may be used to protect mobile wallets. Here are a few advanced methods for strengthening the safety of mobile banking:

1.2.4. Keystroke Dynamics:

The algorithm detects the user's typing, as well as key changes and typical mistakes.

1.2.5. Gait Analysis:

Algorithms evaluate a user's walking style to establish sincerity.

1.2.6. Signature Recognition Analysis:

Algorithms are used to map specific elements of signature design. As a consequence, mobile wallets become harder to hack and surpass conventional banking systems.

1.2.7. Faster operation Time

Whenever it come to card payments, we can say that one transaction takes about 15 seconds to complete. Mobile wallets, but at the other hand, take exactly 6 seconds to complete, which is almost half the time it takes for a card to complete a payment. As a result, there is no need for any additional physical equipment to execute the transaction. The user may pay with his smartphone at any time from any location.

1.2.8. Popularity in the Public Eye

Hard cash has given way to soft currency, which has given way to digital currency. To avoid further financial hassles, many retailers have already shifted to digital payment options, one being mobile payment.

1.2.9. Bonus Programs for Mobile Wallets

Competition in mobile banking services is fiercer than ever, with services like Apple Pay, PayPal, Venmo, Google Pay, and others accessible. Businesses compete by offering a variety of incentive programmes, including transaction bonuses, add-on deals, and loyalty points. It encourages people to use mobile payment systems.

1.2.10. The Future of Mobile Banking

People are increasingly using mobile payments to pay for their daily needs, and this trend is only expected to increase as technology improves. Mobile electronic payments are safer than ever before thanks to enhanced biometrics and AI algorithms. Mobile banking technologies are rapidly expanding beyond smartphones and into other mobile devices like smartwatches. Around 12percent of global internet adults possess a smartwatch, indicating that the shift to smartwatches is well underway. The rising demand for smartwatches, along with the significant shift to cashless transactions, is promising to be a boon for mobile banking technology, and the wearable payments sector will continue to grow.

1.3. Concept of Mobile Banking application quality and Customer Preference:

Consumer satisfaction with technical objects was investigated using the comparison standards (CS) technique in order to determine consumers ' preferences (Fournier and Mick, 1999). According to the paradigm, consumers make satisfaction judgements by comparing product specifications with actual product performances; some of the comparison standards include wants, subjective model, and equitable expectations, as previously defined (Fournier and Mick, 1999). Satisfaction and demand for technological things grow when expectations are met.

Additionally, negative verification of item disadvantages effects satisfaction and, as a result, future choice; customers who foresee a product disadvantage, for example, are more likely to choose that product. If a disadvantage does not arise, such as an automobile battery issue after a particular distance, people are pleasantly surprised and pleased. Fournier and Mick (Fournier and Mick, 1999). When a product meets or exceeds one's expectations, or when one's comparative level (CL) is met or exceeded, one develops a preference for technology. The comparative level is defined as the difference between the product's reward and the consumer's costs; outcomes that surpass the CL promote satisfaction, and vise - versa (Fournier and Mick, 1999). For example, if a huge computer upgrade takes a long time and effort but it does not significantly improve performance, the user may get dissatisfied.

1.4. Factors affecting Mobile Banking application Service quality:

A multitude of factors and conditions have hampered consumer acceptance of mobile commerce applications. The findings of the literature identify some of the issues and factors regarding mobile banking that are also recognised with some other IS/IT apps; these components are also used to generate the beginnings of development suitable for this study in order to put together the underpinning study design and examine the research goals. The following categories apply to mobile devices: socioeconomic base and culture, service cost and device, risk and security, service description, service cost and smartphone, and device characteristics.

Google Image

1.4.1. Risk and Security and trust:

Trust is used to determine how much risk is associated with any financial transaction, i.e. trust is closely tied to user satisfaction; as trust rises, customers' perceptions of mobile payments improve. The merchant-customer transactional connection's long-term survival is aided by trust (Peha and Khamitov 2004). Because internet transactions are intangible and involve a high level of risk perception and unpredictability, trust is a critical component in mobile service uptake (Slade et al. 2014; Salo and Karjaluoto 2007; Arif and Du 2018).

Trust is built on the foundations of talent, compassion, and honesty (Kassim and Abdullah 2010; Sebastianelli and Tamimi 2018). The presence of skills implies that the network operator have the necessary knowledge and experience to offer the required services. Honesty implies that the network operator will not deceive customers or break commitments. Kindness implies that service providers should go out of their way to meet the needs of their customers. According to the study, trust contributes in the formation of preferences for the adoption of a digital service, and having more faith in a system should lead to higher usage satisfaction.

Suoranta, 2003; Laukkanen and Lauronen (Laukkanen and Lauronen, 2005). The problem of security is expected to be investigated as a major factor influencing the usage of mobile banking. Soroor (2005; 2006), for example, referred to as mobile banking security and proposed a number of assessment approaches that may be utilised to improve the network in Iran and elsewhere: First, lay the groundwork for a secure connection between the customer and the bank service, ensuring data protection and integrity. Second, approval from the consumer to start a mobile banking transaction, such as entity identification or exchange confirmation.

1.4.2. Socio-economic background and culture:

In both Ajzen I (1985) and Bond GR (2004)'s theories of behavioral control and Fishbein M's theory of reasoned action, behavioural intention, or an individuals personal behaviour influenced by social pressure, is a key concept (1979). This is influenced by a civilization's culture, since some civilizations place a greater emphasis on social attitudes than others. Because the device has such a massive network impact, how others behave has a significant impact on the uptake of mobile banking services. Long-term cultures prefer long-term preparation, whereas short-term cultures demand immediate results, according to Bond GR (2004).

Long-term cultures should be fueled by long-term goals including peer acceptability, connectivity, and group identity. Amusement, self-esteem, and personal fulfilment, on the other hand, are more short-term goals. A new technology usually comes with a slew of challenges, including setup, system familiarity, and limitations, some of which have already been mentioned.

These are significant challenges to adoption, but only customers from long-term-oriented culture will benefit today from overcoming them. Mobile banking is more likely to be accepted as a payment method by people with a long-term culture. Uncertainty avoidance (UA) (Leidner DE, Kayworth T 2006) is the most extensively used cultural element in information systems. It is defined as the degree to which individuals feel themselves to be threatened by unknown events (Hofstede G 1994). Countries with low UA are more likely to adopt new technology quickly (Straub D, Keil M, Brenner W 1997). India, for instance, has a score of 40 (on a scale of 0 to 100), indicating a moderate to high level of uncertainty avoidance (Hofstede Insights 2018). These nations are willing to take a certain amount of risk (Baptista G, Oliveira T 2015). As a result, Indians are less concerned about the security of mobile payments.

1.4.3. Cost of service:

This factor considers how much it costs to use mobile banking during a payment. To increase the rate of adoption of digital services, the cost of joining a network or executing a transaction should be reduced (Mallat 2007; Liang and Huang 1998). According to the research, higher transaction costs, communication overhead, and subscription charges all have an influence on the usage of online products in both personal and collective contexts (Shafinah et al. 2013; Chatterjee and Kar 2018). According to UTAUT2 research, pricing has a significant impact on behavioural intention.

1.4.4. Device features:

Mobile banking applications are said to be hampered by the limited input and display capabilities of today's mobile phones (Pousttchi, and Schurig, 2004; Laukkanen, and Lauronen, 2005). For example, a mobile phone's small screen cannot display enough information about a record, necessitating a search. Even However, the smartphone device itself may have minimal impact; Laukkanen (2007) discovered that when consumers knew how to use a mobile service, they did not emphasise the relevance of screen size in the assistance, instead focusing on spatial concerns in the help use. As a result, device highlighting may not be a problem for bank customers.

1.5. Summary:

Mobile banking technology is rapidly expanding beyond smart phones and into other mobile devices such as smart smartwatches. Around 12percent of global internet users own a smart watch, indicating that the shift to smartwatch is well underway. The rising demand for smart watches, along with the significant shift to cashless transactions, is proving to be a boon for mobile banking technology, and the wearable payments sector will continue to grow. The study's goals were equally important, and they were backed up by earlier relevant contributions. This study strongly urges mobile banking app developers to reconsider and re-design their approaches, concentrating on perks – such as offers and discounts – as well as long-term planning – by delivering a variety of novel but useful features and emphasising the usability of apps. This study, which occurs in key Indian cities, gives significant insight into how to boost the possibility of customers utilising mobile payment applications by leveraging a good attitude and a high level of trust.

References:

1. Karamjeet Kaur, D. A. (2015). E-Payment System on Ecommerce in India. *International Journal of Engineering Research and Applications,*, pp.79-87.

2. Manikandan, M. (2015). Mobile Wallet- A Virtual Physical Wallet to the Customers. *Paripex - Indian journal of research* ,, 146-147.

3. NazimSha, S. (2018). A Study On Paytm Services In Promoting Cashless Economy After Demonetization In IndiaAnd An Outline On Its Support Towards MakingIndia Digital. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, , 263-278.

4. P. Kalyani. (2016). An Empirical Study about the Awareness of Paperless E Currency Transaction like E-Wallet Using ICT in the Youth of India. . *Journal of Management Engineering and Information Technology (JMEIT)*, ,), III (3), 18-42.

5. R.R.Aparna. (2015). Overview of Digital wallets in India. *International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer Science* , , 28-31 .

6. Rana, S. S. (2017). A study of preference towards the mobile wallets among the university students in lucknow city. *Scholedge International Journal of Management & Development* , Vol.04, Issue 06 Pg 46-57.

7. Rana, S. S. (2017). A study of preference towards the mobile wallets among the university students in lucknow city. . *Scholedge International Journal of Management & Development* , Pg 46-57.

8. Rathore, H. S. (2016). Adoption of Digital Wallets by Consumers. *BVIMSR's Journal of Management Research*, 69-76.

9. Regalix, R. (2018). The state of e-wallets and digital payments in india 2018. *Mountain View, CA: Regalix Research*.

10. Sagayarani, D. (2015). Digital Payments In India. *IOSR Journal of Business and Management*, 28-33.

11. Salodkar Ambarish, Morey , K., & Prof. Mrs. Monali, , S. (2015). Electronic Wallet. *International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology*, Volume 2, Issue 9, .

12. Sambhy, G. S. (2014). Study of Mobile Payment Services in India. *School of Information and Communication Technology, Stockholm, Sweden.*

13. Sardar, D. R. (2016). Preference towards mobile wallets among urban population of jalgaon city. . *Journal of Management* , 1-11.

14. Sardar, R. (2016). Preferences Towards Mobile Wallets Among Urban Population of Jalgaon City. *Journal of Management (JOM),*, III (2), 01-11.

15. Satadruti Chakraborty, & Mitra , D. (2017). A study to identify parameters that affect customer Satisfaction for e-wallet services in india,. *Global Journal For Research Analysis*.

16. Shalini Mittala, Panta, , A., & Bhadauriab, S. (2017). An Empirical Study on Customer Preference towards Payment Banks over Universal Banks in Delhi NCR,. *Information Technology and Quantitative Management (ITQM2017)* , 463–470 .

17. Shin, D.-H. (2016). Towards an understanding of the consumer acceptance of mobile wallet. *Elsevier*, 1343-1354.

18. Shukla, T. N. (2016). Mobile Wallets Present and Future. . *International Journal in Multidisciplinary and Academic Research* , VI (3).

19. Sinha, I. (2016). Mobile Wallet service Utilisation in India: emperical analysis of user trust and acceptance factors. . *International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research*, VII (4), 1762-1772.

20. TADSE, A. M. (2017). A study on usage of paytm . . *Pune Research Scholar* , 1-11.

21. Taheam Kunal, R. S. (2016). Drivers of Digital Wallet Usage: Implications for leveraging digital marketing. . *IJER Serial Publications*,, XIII (1).

22. Thulsiram, R. V. (2016). Acceptance of E-Wallet Services: A Study of Consumer Behaviour. *International Journal of Innovative Research in Management Studies (IJIRMS),*, I (4), 133-141.

23. Aizen, I., Fishbein, M: Understanding attitudes and predicting social behaviour. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall (1980).

24. Au, Y. A., Kauffman, R. J.: The economics of mobile payments: Understanding stakeholder issues for an emerging financial technology application. Electronic Commerce Research and Applications, 7(2), 141–164 (2007).

25. Balasubramanian, S., Peterson, R.A. Janvenpaa, S.L.: Exploring the implications of m-commerce for markets and marketing. Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, 30 (4), pp.348 361 (2002).

26. Barnes, S.J., Vidgen, R.: WebQual: An Exploration of Web-site Quality. International Journal of Electronic Commerce, 6, 1 (2001), 11–30 (2001).

27. Barnes, S. J., Vidgen R.: Evaluating WAP News Sites: The WEBQUAL/ M Approach. The 9th European Conference on Information Systems, 17 (2001).

28. Cronin, J. J., Taylor, S. A.: Measuring service quality: a re-examination and extension. Journal of Marketing, 56(3), 55-68 (1992).

29. Dahlberg, T., Mallat, N., Ondrus, J. and Zmijewska, A.: Past, present and future of mobile payments research: A literature review. Electronic Commerce Research and Applications 7(2), 165–181 (2008).

30. Jayalakshmi, B., Pramod, V. R.: Total Interpretive Structural Modelling (TISM) of the Enablers of a Flexible Control System for Industry. Global Journal of Flexible Systems Management 16(1), 63-85 (2015).

31. Kreyer, N.; Pousttchi, K.; Turowski, K.: Standardized Payment Procedures as Key Enabling Factor for Mobile Commerce. E-Commerce and Web Technologies. ECWeb 2002. Aix-en-Provence 2002, pp. 400–409 (2002).

32. Lin, H.-H., Wang, Y.-S.: An examination of the determinants of customer loyalty in mobile commerce contexts. Information & Management 43 (3), 271–282 (2006).

33. Pavlou, P. A. (2003). Consumer acceptance of electronic commerce: Integrating trust and risk with the technology acceptance model. *International Journal of Electronic Commerce*, *7*(3), 101-134.

34. Peter, J. P., & Tarpey, L. Z. S. (1975). A comparative analysis of three consumer decision strategies. *Journal of Consumer Research*, *2*, 29-37.

35. Rietveld, T., & Van Hout, R. (1993). *Statistical techniques for the study of language and language behaviour*. Berlin—New York: Mouton de Gruyter.

36. Rogers, E. M. (1962). *Diffusion of innovations* (1st ed.). London: The Free Press

37. Schreier, M., & Prügl, R. (2008). Extending lead-user theory: Antecedents and consequences of consumers' lead userness. *Journal of Product Innovation Management, 25*(4), 331-346.

38. Sharma, S., & Gutiérrez, J. A. (2010). An evaluation framework for viable business models for M-commerce in the information technology sector. *Electronic Markets, 20*(1), 33-52.

39. Siau, K., & Shen, Z. (2002). Building consumer trust in mobile commerce. *Communications of the ACM, 46*(4), 91-94.

40. Steenkamp, J. E. M., & van Trijp, H. C. M. (1991). The use of LISREL in validating marketing constructs. *International Journal of Research in Marketing, 8*, 283-299.

41. Steiger, J. H. (1990). Structural model evaluation and modification: An interval estimation approach. *Multivariate Behavioral Research, 25*(2), 173-180.

42. Suh, B., & Han, I. (2002). Effect of trust on consumer acceptance of Internet banking. *Electronic Commerce Research and Applications, 1*(3), 247.

43. Sweeney, J. C., Soutar, G. N., & Johnson, L. W. (1999). The role of perceived risk in the quality-value relationship: A study in a retail environment. *Journal of Retailing, 75*(1), 77-105.

44. Tan, M., & Teo, T. S. H. (2000). Factors influencing the adoption of Internet banking. *Journal of the Association for Information Systems, 1*(5), 1-42.

45. Teo, T., & Pok, S. (2003). Adoption of WAP-enabled mobile phones among Internet users. *Omega, 31*(6), 483-498.

46. Aboelmaged, M. and Gebba, T.R. (2013), "Mobile banking adoption: an examination of technology acceptance model and theory of planned behavior", International Journal of Business Research and Development, Vol. 2 No. 1, pp. 719-729.

47. Adams, D.A., Nelson, R.R. and Todd, P.A. (1992), "Perceived usefulness, ease of use, and usage of information technology: a replication", MIS Quarterly, Vol. 16 No. 2, pp. 227-247.

48. Agarwal, R., Rastogi, S. and Mehrotra, A. (2009), "Customers' perspectives regarding e-banking in an emerging economy", Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services, Vol. 16 No. 5, pp. 340-351.

49. Ajzen, I. and Fishbein, M. (1980), Understanding Attitudes and Predicting Social Behavior, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ.

Books:

1. Liu, H., Shao, M., Liu, X., & Zhao, L. (2021). Exploring the influential factors on readers' continuance intentions of E-Book APPs: personalization, usefulness, playfulness, and satisfaction. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *12*, 262.

2. de Luna, I. R., Liébana-Cabanillas, F., Sánchez-Fernández, J., & Munoz-Leiva, F. (2018). Mobile payment is not all the same: The adoption of mobile payment systems depending on the technology applied. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, *146*, 931-944.

3. Björkegren, D., & Grissen, D. (2018). Behavior revealed in mobile phone usage predicts credit repayment. *The World Bank Economic Review*, *34*(3), 618-634.

4. World Health Organization. (2018). *WHO guideline: recommendations on digital interventions for health system strengthening*. World Health Organization.

5. Rout, S. K. (2018). *Mobile banking Security: Technological Security*. Educreation Publishing.

6. Shaikh, A. A., & Karjaluoto, H. (Eds.). (2018). *Marketing and mobile financial services: a global perspective on digital banking consumer behaviour*. Routledge.

7. Shukla, S., Bharadwaj, P., & Gupta, K. (Eds.). (2018). *Marketing to Centennials in Digital World*. Book Bazooka.

8. Sengupta, A., & De, S. (2018). *Assessing Performance of Banks in India Fifty Years After Nationalization*. Springer Nature.

9. Akgül, Y. (Ed.). (2018). *Structural Equation Modeling Approaches to E-Service Adoption*. IGI Global.

10. Gallagher, S. (2018). *Banking Performance and Socio Economic Development*. Scientific e-Resources.

11. Sabnavis, M. (2018). *Hits and Misses: The Indian Banking Story*. Sage Publications Pvt. Limited.

12. Jain, D., & Sharma, A. (Eds.). (2018). *Marketing Techniques for Financial Inclusion and Development*. IGI Global.
13. Dwivedi, Y. K., Rana, N. P., Slade, E. L., Shareef, M. A., Clement, M., Simintiras, A. C., & Lal, B. (Eds.). (2018). *Emerging Markets from a Multidisciplinary Perspective: Challenges, Opportunities and Research Agenda*. Springer.

14. Chishti, S., Craddock, T., Courtneidge, R., & Zachariadis, M. (Eds.). (2018). *The PAYTECH Book: The Payment Technology Handbook for Investors, Entrepreneurs, and FinTech Visionaries*. John Wiley & Sons.

15. Ramakumar, R. (Ed.). (2018). *Note-Bandi: demonetisation and India's elusive chase for black money*. Oxford University Press.