

A CROSS SECTIONAL DESCRIPTIVE STUDY OF HAND WASHING KNOWLEDGE AND PRACTICES AMONG PRIMARY SCHOOL CHILDREN IN KOLKATA, WEST BENGAL.

Sheuli Sen

Professor, Amity College of Nursing, Amity University, Gurgaon

ABSTRACT

Background: Hand washing has been widely accepted worldwide as a cost effective intervention to prevent the spread of communicable diseases. However, despite proven effectiveness, practices of hand washing have found to be poor in developing nations. As children are vulnerable to communicable diseases, the present study aims to assess hand washing knowledge and practices among primary school children in municipal schools in Kolkata.

Methods: A cross sectional descriptive study was carried out among randomly selected primary school children of municipal corporation schools in Kolkata, India. Two thousand two hundred and eighty three students were interviewed using a structured interview schedule regarding socio-demographic characteristics, history of illness and hand washing knowledge and practices.

Results: More than half (54%) of the study population reported a history of illnesses in the past one month, out of which 81.4% reported absenteeism due to illness. Around 34% children were unaware about health related consequences of not washing hands. When asked about the important times when hands ought to be washed, only 18% mentioned after toilet use. Of the 2283 students, a very small percentage of respondents (0.7%) reportedly practiced five steps of hand washing; only 1% practiced four steps of hand washing. Forgetfulness was cited as the primary reason for missing washing hands before eating food (88%) and after toilet use (84%).

Conclusions: Knowledge regarding hand washing was found to be inadequate while practices were not up to the recommended standard. This suggests that both behaviour change education and infrastructure improvements are equally important to improve hand washing practices in the long run.

Keywords: Hand washing, Primary school children, Kolkata

INTRODUCTION

Hand washing has been globally acknowledged and accepted as a low cost & effective technique in preventing communicable diseases by countries all over the world, including WASH programme by UNICEF (United Nations Children's Emergency Fund, 2012). Hand



washing before and after certain activities (e.g. before eating food and after going to the toilet) is considered as most effective in removing germs thus protecting one against infectious diseases like diarrhoea and pneumonia and also in preventing transmitting disease causing germs to others. The importance of hand washing has been emphasised by the findings from systemic review conducted by Curtis et al in 2003 and Rabie et al in 2006 which revealed that washing hands with soap reduces diarrhoea by up to 43% and pneumonia by 23%.

Hand washing is predominantly important for children as the prevalence of diarrhoea and pneumonia is high among them. Nearly 90% of child deaths due to diarrhoea and pneumonia occur in the 5 most populous and poor countries: India, Nigeria, Democratic republic of Congo, Pakistan and Ethiopia. This underlines the importance of hand washing particularly in country like India.

Though the need of hand washing is more in developing countries, the practices were found to be poor due to lack of either infrastructure or awareness or combination of both. In Odisha, India it was found that only 15% urban school children had availability of soaps in schools. In Amravati district of Maharashtra the presence of bacterial pathogens was found to be present in all 400 primary school children which reflects the poor hand washing practices among children.

Kolkata is the capital and the most populous city in West Bengal state of India. It is also considered as the "commercial capital of India". The employment opportunities in the city attract large number of migrants every year which puts lot of strain on various basic amenities like housing, drinking water supply, drainage, sanitation and giving rise to slums. As of 2011 census, around 42% of Kolkata's population is living in the slums (5.20 million out of 12.42 million. The health status of the slum inhabitant is affected due to lack of adequate infrastructure and health related amenities. Due to lack of sanitation, improper provision of water, drainage and garbage collection, many disease vectors tend to thrive in these areas. It was in this context that the present study was undertaken in Municipal schools of Kolkata, India.

The objectives of the present study were to assess the knowledge and practices regarding hand washing among primary school children in municipal schools, Kolkata and to compare the findings across the three geographical parts of Kolkata.

METHODS

This was a cross sectional study undertaken from July 2015 to August 2015 using quantitative method of data collection in Kolkata. As students studying in the municipal corporation schools come from low socioeconomic families and the majority is slum dwellers, schools thus



gave an excellent opportunity to study hand washing knowledge and practices of the children from this background assuming their vulnerability to diseases.

Since Kolkata is divided geographically into city, eastern suburbs and western suburbs as we decided to study and compare hand washing knowledge and practices according to the geographic distribution. Initially, 1st to 4th standard children were the part of target population but during the pre-testing of the interview schedule it was found that the 3rd and 4th students were able to comprehend and respond better to the questions than the 1st and 2nd standard students. So it was decided to include only 3rd and 4th standard children. The list of municipal corporation schools was obtained from the Education department, (Municipal Corporation of Kolkata). According to the list, there were 1160 primary schools in 2014-2015. The schools were selected using stratified random sampling method according to the three parts of Kolkata. Around 10% schools were selected within the three strata, 36 schools were selected out of 357 from the city, 42 schools were selected from 425 schools in the western suburbs and 41 schools were selected out of 378 from the eastern suburbs . From each standard, children were selected by simple random sampling method. The final sample size (n) consisted of 119 schools and 2283 students.

Study schools were initially contacted via telephone for permission. In the selected schools, students were then targeted from 3rd and 4th standard. Over the past years, the admissions to municipal schools have found to be dwindling gradually, the reasons for which are not known.

To prevent contamination of data, children selected for the study were either taken to different classrooms whenever possible or separated from the other students of the class. The children were explained the nature and purpose of survey. Face-to-face interviews were conducted with each respondent. Those who were non- responsive and not willing to participate were excluded from the study. Children's participation was voluntary and hence only who willingly agreed was included in the study. Also those who wanted to quit at any point of study were allowed to do so without any restriction. A pre-designed structured interview schedule was used to conduct the interviews. Pre-testing of all the questions were done before finalizing the interview schedule. Translation of the interview schedule was done in two languages, Bengali and Hindi. All the field staffs recruited were at least educated up to graduation. Training was provided to the field staff regarding overview / purpose of the study, the importance of each question and how the questions need to be asked/ interpreted to the students. The quality of data collection was supervised by the field supervisors. The interview schedule was divided in two parts; Part 1 focused on socio-demographic characteristics student's history of illness and



related absenteeism, while part 2 had questions on hand washing knowledge and practices. The interview schedule was validated by an outside expert from the field. The number and nature of questions were structured according to the age of the study population and the school settings. Two observations were done individually; nails were examined and children were asked to enact the hand washing steps they practice.

Children from the date of interview. Out of those who remained absent, 81.6% reported absenteeism due to illness. From the Table 3, it is also seen that out of those who had health problems, majority (75%) had fever, followed by respiratory symptoms like cold/cough (32.9%) and gastrointestinal symptoms (16.8%). Knowledge of hand washing for the children was presented in Table 4. 34.2% children were unaware about health related consequences of not practicing hand washing like sickness and germs. When asked about when to wash hands, 75.5% respondents mentioned before eating food, after eating (51.1%), after toilet use (18.1%) and after playing (11.7%). Other reasons like, after touching garbage and dirt, after coming from outdoors were mentioned by very few children (9.5%). Hand washing practices of the children were demonstrated in Table 5 and shows that the majority of children (91.5%) reported using soap for hand washing. Hand washing before eating food was reportedly always practiced by around 59% children in overall Kolkata.

Among those who missed or never washed hands (40.7% out of total), vast majority (88%) mentioned forgetfulness, followed by laziness (44%) as the main reason (88%) for not adhering to hand washing practices. Hand washing after toilet use was reported by 76% students. Out of 24% students who missed hand washing, forgetfulness again (83.6%) was the primary reason, followed by laziness (35.7%). A minor percentage of children mentioned lack of water and soap as reasons for not washing hands. The children were asked to demonstrate the steps practiced by them during the hand washing.

It was found that majority of the children rubbed the palms of hands together (94.2%), followed by back of hands (58.6%) but the space between fingers (6.5%) and cleaning the nails (2.4%) was found to be practiced by very few children across Kolkata. Around 61% children all over Kolkata had visible dirt in their finger nails at the time of interview. Further assessment of nails shows that 39% had grown nails. Majority of the children across Kolkata reportedly had access to public (75.3%) and private toilet (19%) while 5.7% mentioned open defecation.

Statistical analysis

All the responses were checked, coded and entered in SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences) version 20. After data entry, it was cleaned and checked for missing values and other errors. A simple frequency distribution was used to tabulate and interpret the variables and compare across 3 parts of Kolkata. For further analysis, a chi-square (χ^2) test was used to



determine the statistical significance wherever possible. The statistical significance was defined at p value of less than 0.05.

RESULTS

The data was collected from 2,283 children of the 3rd and 4th grades from 119 schools. Table 1 presents an overview of the demographic details of the respondents. In the present study, the age group ranged from 6 to 14 years. Around 70% respondents's father belonged either to unskilled or semi-skilled category. As for the mother's occupational status, majorities (59.9%) of the children's mother were found to be not working, and those working belonged to unskilled category (29.7%). With respect to mother tongue, majority of the children had Hindi (57%) as their mother tongue, followed by Bengali (32%).

As seen in Table 2, history of illness and absenteeism in the past one month was observed in more than half (54%) children from the date of interview. Out of those who remained absent, 81.6% reported absenteeism due to illness. From the Table 3, it is also seen that out of those who had health problems, majority (75%) had fever, followed by respiratory symptoms like cold/cough (32.9%) and gastrointestinal symptoms (16.8%). Knowledge of hand washing for the children was presented in Table 4. 34.2% children were unaware about health related consequences of not practicing hand washing like sickness and germs. When asked about when to wash hands, 75.5% respondents mentioned before eating food, after eating (51.1%), after toilet use (18.1%) and after playing (11.7%). Other reasons like, after touching garbage and dirt, after coming from outdoors were mentioned by very few children (9.5%). Hand washing practices of the children were demonstrated in Table 5 and shows that the majority of children (91.5%) reported using soap for hand washing. Hand washing before eating food was reportedly always practiced by around 59% children in overall Kolkata.

Among those who missed or never washed hands (40.7% out of total), vast majority (88%) mentioned forgetfulness, followed by laziness (44%) as the main reason (88%) for not adhering to hand washing practices. Hand washing after toilet use was reported by 76% students. Out of 24% students who missed hand washing, forgetfulness again (83.6%) was the primary reason, followed by laziness (35.7%). A minor percentage of children mentioned lack of water and soap as reasons for not washing hands. The children were asked to demonstrate the steps practiced by them during the hand washing.

It was found that majority of the children rubbed the palms of hands together (94.2%), followed by back of hands (58.6%) but the space between fingers (6.5%) and cleaning the nails (2.4%) was found to be practiced by very few children across Kolkata. Around 61% children all over Kolkata had visible dirt in their finger nails at the time of interview. Further



assessment of nails shows that 39% had grown nails. Majority of the children across Kolkata reportedly had access to public (75.3%) and private toilet (19%) while 5.7% mentioned open defecation.

DISCUSSION

The mean age of the study population in the present study was 8.67 years (Standard Deviation [SD] 1.2). It is seen that the most of the children's father belonged to either unskilled or semi- skilled category. More than half (59.9%) children's mother were found to be not working, most of those who were in the unskilled category were working as maids. These findings were expected as the children in Kolkata Corporation schools generally come from low socio-economic status. As for history of illness, half of the respondents (53.8) mentioned history of illness in past one month. Out of those who mentioned history of illness, 81.6% reportedly missed school due to illness. These findings are in concurrence to the findings by White et al. in which absenteeism due to illness was found to be a major issue in educational institutions. Illness related absenteeism have been found to be reduced by hand washing interventions. In a school based study conducted by Lopez-Quintero, 2009, it was found that few children reported gastrointestinal symptoms in the preceding month and absenteeism in last year. An intervention based study needs to be carried out to study the implication of proper hand washing behaviour on illness and related absenteeism in the present study population.

Volume 07 Issue 06, June 2019 ISSN: 2321-1784 Impact Factor: 6.319 Journal Homepage: http://ijmr.net.in, Email: irjmss@gmail.com Reviewed Refereed Open Access International Journal



Table 1: Socio-demographic characteristics

| Characteristics | City (N =562) | % | Eastern Suburb s (N = 866) | % | Wester n Suburb s (N = 855) | % | Total (N = 2283) | % | p-Value |
|---------------------------|------------------|----------|-------------------------------------|----------|---|------|------------------------|------|---------|
| School medium | | | | | | | | | |
| Hindi | 248 | 44. 1 | 301 | 34. 8 | 370 | 43.3 | 919 | 40.3 | 0.001 |
| Marathi | 146 | 26. 0 | 326 | 37. 6 | 274 | 32.0 | 746 | 32.7 | - |
| Urdu | 148 | 26. 3 | 195 | 22. 5 | 151 | 17.7 | 494 | 21.6 | |
| English | 20 | 3.6 | 44 | 5.1 | 60 | 7.0 | 124 | 5.4 | - |
| Standard | | | | | | | | | 0.856 |
| 3 rd | 270 | 48. 0 | 429 | 49. 5 | 417 | 48.8 | 1116 | 48.9 | |
| 4 th | 292 | 52. 0 | 437 | 50. 0 | 438 | 51.2 | 1167 | 51.1 | |
| Age | | | | | | | | | _ |
| 6-8 | 280 | 49. 8 | 469 | 54. 2 | 379 | 44.3 | 1128 | 49.4 | 0.001 |
| 9-11 | 262 | 46. 6 | 378 | 43. 6 | 454 | 53.1 | 1094 | 47.9 | - |
| 12-14 | 20 | 3.6 | 19 | 2.2 | 22 | 2.6 | 61 | 2.7 | |
| Gender | | | | | | | | | _ |
| Male | 298 | 53. 0 | 414 | 47. 8 | 417 | 48.8 | 1129 | 49.5 | 0.138 |
| Female | 264 | 47. 0 | 452 | 52. 2 | 438 | 51.2 | 1154 | 50.5 | |
| Father's occupa | ational stat | tus* | | | | | | | _ |
| Expired | 22 | 3.9 | 26 | 3.0 | 26 | 3.0 | 74 | 3.2 | |
| Unskilled | 217 | 38. 6 | 347 | 40. 1 | 320 | 37.4 | 884 | 38.7 | |
| Semi-skilled | 195 | 34. 7 | 347 | 40. 1 | 295 | 34.5 | 837 | 36.7 | 0.000 |
| Skilled/highly skilled | 33 | 5.9 | 41 | 4.7 | 10 | 1.2 | 84 | 3.7 | _ |

International Journal in Management and Social Science http://ijmr.net.in, Email: irjmss@gmail.com

International Journal in Management and Social Science

Volume 07 Issue 06, June 2019 ISSN: 2321-1784 Impact Factor: 6.319 Journal Homepage: http://ijmr.net.in, Email: irjmss@gmail.com Reviewed Refereed Open Access International Journal



| Don't know | 85 | 15. | 91 | 10. | 190 | 22.2 | 366 | 16 | |
|------------------|----------|-------|-----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-------|
| | | 1 | | 5 | | | | | |
| Stay at home | 10 | 1.8 | 14 | 1.6 | 14 | 1.6 | 38 | 1.7 | |
| Mother's occupat | ional st | atus* | | | | | | | |
| Expired | 5 | 0.9 | 14 | 1.6 | 8 | 0.9 | 27 | 1.2 | |
| Unskilled | 163 | 29. | 266 | 30. | 250 | 29.2 | 679 | 29.7 | 0.000 |
| | | 0 | | 7 | | | | | 0.000 |
| Semi- | 21 | 4.1 | 48 | 5.5 | 24 | 2.8 | 86 | 3.8 | |
| skilled/skilled | | | | | | | | | |
| Don't know | 27 | 4.8 | 35 | 4.0 | 53 | 6.2 | 115 | 5.4 | |
| Stay at home | 344 | 61. | 503 | 58. | 520 | 60.8 | 1367 | 59.9 | |
| | | 2 | | 1 | | | | | |
| Mother tongue | | | | | | | | | |
| Hindi | 283 | 50. | 476 | 55. | 534 | 62.5 | 1293 | 56.6 | |
| | | 4 | | 0 | | | | | 0.001 |
| Bengali | 188 | 33. | 294 | 33. | 245 | 28.7 | 727 | 31.8 | 0.001 |
| | | 5 | | 9 | | | | | |
| Urdu | 28 | 5.0 | 45 | 5.2 | 20 | 2.3 | 93 | 4.1 | |
| Bhojpuri | 10 | 1.8 | 10 | 1.2 | 11 | 1.3 | 31 | 1.4 | |
| Other | 53 | 9.4 | 41 | 4.7 | 45 | 5.3 | 139 | 6.1 | |

*Occupational status were categorized according to minimum wages act (excluding expired). The occupational status of the parents were categorized as per minimum wages act, India into Unskilled, skilled, semi-skilled, highly skilled.



Table 2: History of illness in past one month.

| History of illness in past one month | City (N =562) | % | Easter n Subur bs (N = 866) | % | Wester n Subur bs (N = 855) | % | Total (N= 2283) | % | p-Value |
|--|---------------------|------|---|------|---|------|-----------------------|------|---------|
| Yes | 296 | 52.7 | 458 | 52.9 | 475 | 55.6 | 1229 | 53.8 | 0.441 |
| No | 266 | 47.3 | 408 | 47.1 | 380 | 44.4 | 1054 | 46.2 | |

Table 3: Causes of illness and effect of missing school.

| Characteristi cs | City (N=296) | % | Easter n Subur bs (N = 458) | % | Wester n Subur bs (N = 475) | % | Total (N= 1229) | % | p-Value |
|---------------------|---------------------|----------|---|------|---|------|--------------------------------------|------|---------|
| Causes of illne | ess | | | | | | | | |
| Fever | 211 | 74. 8 | 332 | 77.9 | 331 | 72.3 | 874 | 75.0 | |
| Cold/cough | 86 | 30. 5 | 132 | 31.0 | 166 | 36.2 | 384 | 32.9 | |
| Stomach ache | 38 | 13. 5 | 39 | 9.2 | 20 | 4.4 | 97 | 4.5 | NA* |
| Vomiting | 25 | 8.9 | 27 | 6.3 | 39 | 8.5 | 91 | 7.8 | |
| Loose motions | 8 | 2.8 | 19 | 4.5 | 25 | 5.5 | 52 | 4.5 | |
| Other causes | 7 | 2.5 | 17 | 4.0 | 18 | 3.9 | 42 | 3.6 | |
| Missed school | because | of illne | ess | | | | | | |
| Yes | 219 | 73. 9 | 357 | 77.9 | 427 | 89.8 | 1003 | 81.6 | 0.000 |
| No | 83 | 26. 1 | 102 | 22.1 | 51 | 10.2 | 236 | 18.4 | |

* -Multiple answers so statistical test was not done and there is no p value.



| Table 4: | Know | ledge | of hand | washing. |
|----------|------|-------|---------|----------|
|----------|------|-------|---------|----------|

| Characteristi cs | City (N =562) | % | Eastern Subur bs (N = 866) | % | Western Subur bs (N = 855) | % | Total (N=228 3) | % | <i>p</i> -Value |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------|---------|-------------------------------------|----------|-------------------------------------|------|-----------------------|------|-----------------|
| Why is it essen | ntial to wa | ash har | nds? | | | | | | |
| We may fall sick | 184 | 33.2 | 207 | 24. 2 | 208 | 24.6 | 599 | 26.6 | |
| Germs | 191 | 34.5 | 401 | 46. 8 | 291 | 34.4 | 883 | 39.2 | NA* |
| To remove Dirt | 188 | 33.9 | 328 | 38. 3 | 476 | 56.3 | 992 | 44 | |
| Don't know | 70 | 12.6 | 88 | 10. 3 | 74 | 8.8 | 232 | 10.3 | |
| When is it ess | ential to v | vash ha | nds? | | | | | | |
| Before eating | 415 | 75.2 | 573 | 67. 9 | 706 | 83.2 | 1694 | 75.5 | |
| After eating | 167 | 30.3 | 429 | 50. 8 | 551 | 64.9 | 1147 | 51.1 | |
| After toilet use | 74 | 13.4 | 115 | 13. 6 | 218 | 25.7 | 407 | 18.1 | - |
| After playing | 53 | 9.6 | 85 | 10. 1 | 125 | 14.7 | 263 | 11.7 | |
| After touching garbage/dir t | 16 | 2.9 | 41 | 4.9 | 22 | 2.6 | 79 | 3.5 | NA* |
| After coming from outdoors | 19 | 3.4 | 35 | 4.1 | 12 | 1.4 | 66 | 0.4 | |
| Other reasons | 2 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 0.5 | 6 | 0.3 | |
| Don't know | 24 | 4.3 | 30 | 3.6 | 13 | 1.5 | 67 | 3.0 | |

* Multiple answers so statistical test was not done and there is no p value.



According to UNICEF, hands washing before eating food and after toilet use are two most critical moments of hand washing. When the children were asked to mention the most important times of hand washing, 75.5 % mentioned hand washing before eating food but very few children mentioned after toilet use (18.1%). Around 34% respondents were not aware about the consequences of improper hand washing.

Findings of hand washing reveal that though 75.5% knew that hand washing before eating food is important, only 59.9% reportedly washed hands before eating food consistently. Only 18% answered hand washing after toilet use when asked about important times of hand washing, which was incoherent with findings on practices that reveal 76% reportedly always washed their hands after toilet use. This gap between knowledge and practice could be due to the social desirability effect, in which respondents have tendency to over report behaviour that they perceive as socially desirable. Out of those students who reportedly missed hand washing before eating food and after going to the toilet, more than 80% mentioned forgetfulness as the main reasons followed by laziness. Forgetfulness as the main reason for not washing hands was also found in the study conducted by Setyautami et al, 2012 and Merenu et al, 2015.

Forgetfulness and laziness reflects the behavioural problems towards hand washing. As a reminder schools should display posters to increase hand washing compliance. In contrast, lack of water and soap has been mentioned by very few students. All over the world, the main reason for low rates of hand washing is simply because it has not been a habit. Therefore the intervention must not only focus on the infrastructure but also on the behavioural aspect of it so as to make hand washing a habit, a social norm. The findings regarding hand washing before eating food and after toilet in the present study are quite similar to a study conducted in school children aged between 6 to 14 years in Ghana, where 88.3% children washed hands after toilet use. The findings regarding hand washing practices in the present study were higher than the study conducted by Lopez et al., 2009 in Colombia which showed that only 33.6% of primary students "always" or "very often" washed their hands with soap and clean water before eating and after using a toilet. In an interventional study conducted in Kolkata in primary school children it was found that 85.4% children washed their hands before eating food while 55.2% of the respondents in a study conducted in south India



Table 5: Hand washing practices.

| Characteristi cs | City (N =562) | % | Easter n Subur bs (N = 866) | % | Wester n Subur bs (N = 855) | % | Total (N = 2283) | % | p-Value |
|---------------------------|---------------------|----------|---|----------|---|----------|------------------------|------|---------|
| Hand washing | g method | | | | | | | | |
| Water only/ don't know | 75 | 13. 3 | 63 | 7.3 | 56 | 6.5 | 194 | 8.5 | 0.000 |
| Soap and water | 487 | 86. 7 | 803 | 92.7 | 799 | 93.5 | 2089 | 91.5 | |
| Number of ha | nd washi | ng step | s performe | d | | | | | |
| Only one step | 516 | 91. 8 | 802 | 92.6 | 833 | 97.4 | 2151 | 94.2 | |
| Two steps | 308 | 54. 8 | 464 | 53.6 | 566 | 66.2 | 1338 | 58.6 | NA* |
| Three steps | 59 | 10. 5 | 49 | 5.7 | 41 | 4.8 | 149 | 6.5 | |
| Four steps | 19 | 3.4 | 26 | 3.0 | 10 | 1.2 | 55 | 2.4 | |
| All five steps | 10 | 1.8 | 2 | 0.2 | 3 | 0.4 | 15 | 0.7 | |
| Hand washing | g before e | ating fo | ood | | | | | | |
| Always | 358 | 63. 7 | 487 | 56.2 | 510 | 59.6 | 1355 | 59.4 | 0.034 |
| Sometimes | 195 | 34. 7 | 371 | 42.8 | 333 | 38.9 | 899 | 39.4 | |
| Never | 9 | 1.6 | 8 | 0.9 | 12 | 1.4 | 29 | 1.3 | - |
| Reasons for ne | ot washin | g hand | s before ea | ting foo | d (sometin | nes or n | ever) | | |
| Forgetfulness | 179 | 87. 7 | 346 | 91.2 | 293 | 84.9 | 818 | 88.1 | |
| Laziness | 69 | 33. 8 | 171 | 45.1 | 166 | 48.1 | 406 | 43.7 | NA* |
| Lack of water | 10 | 4.9 | 8 | 2.1 | 10 | 2.9 | 28 | 3.0 | |
| Lack of soap | 6 | 3.0 | 8 | 2.1 | 8 | 2.3 | 22 | 2.4 | |
| Hand washing | g after toi | let | | | | | | | |
| Always | 418 | 74. | 625 | 72.2 | 698 | 81.6 | 1741 | 76.3 | |

International Journal in Management and Social Science

Volume 07 Issue 06, June 2019 ISSN: 2321-1784 Impact Factor: 6.319 Journal Homepage: http://ijmr.net.in, Email: irjmss@gmail.com Reviewed Refereed Open Access International Journal



| | | 4 | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------|------------|-----------|--------|-----|------|------|------|-------|--|--|
| Sometimes/ Never | 144 | 25. 6 | 241 | 27.9 | 157 | 18.4 | 542 | 23.7 | 0.000 | | |
| Reasons for not washing hands after toilet (sometimes or never) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Forgetfulness | 119 | 82. 6 | 206 | 85.8 | 129 | 80.6 | 454 | 83.6 | | | |
| Laziness | 50 | 34. 7 | 94 | 39.2 | 50 | 31.3 | 194 | 35.7 | NA* | | |
| Lack of water | 11 | 7.6 | 10 | 4.2 | 11 | 6.9 | 32 | 5.9 | | | |
| Lack of soap | 11 | 7.6 | 13 | 5.4 | 8 | 5.0 | 32 | 5.9 | | | |
| When at home | e where | e do you g | go for to | oilet? | | | | | | | |
| Toilet at home | 150 | 26. 7 | 150 | 17.3 | 135 | 15.8 | 435 | 19.0 | | | |
| Public toilet | 380 | 66. 6 | 666 | 76.9 | 672 | 78.6 | 1718 | 75.3 | 0.000 | | |
| In open | 32 | 5.7 | 50 | 5.8 | 48 | 5.6 | 130 | 5.7 | | | |
| Dirtiness of na | ails | | | | | | | | | | |
| Very dirty nails | 74 | 13. 2 | 140 | 16.2 | 107 | 12.5 | 321 | 14.1 | | | |
| Somewhat dirty nails | 246 | 43. 8 | 380 | 43.9 | 425 | 49.7 | 1051 | 46.0 | 0.026 | | |
| Clean nails | 238 | 42. 3 | 342 | 39.5 | 315 | 36.8 | 895 | 39.2 | | | |
| Growth of nai | ils | | | | | | | | | | |
| Trimmed nails | 378 | 67. 3 | 514 | 59.4 | 501 | 58.6 | 1393 | 61.0 | 0.000 | | |
| Grown nails | 184 | 32. 7 | 352 | 40.6 | 354 | 41.4 | 890 | 39.0 | | | |

* - Multiple answers so statistical test was not done and there is no p value.

As this is self-reported study, the actual findings may be different. A study in Kenya found that out of roughly 4,900 children who responded, more than half reported washing their hands in school on the previous day. However, in the observation of almost 1,000 children using the toilet, less than a quarter were observed to actually wash their hands after toilet use. A study in Bangladesh about hand washing behaviour in 2008 suggests that the study subjects washed their hands less frequently than they claimed. These findings demonstrate that asking people



about their hand washing behaviour may not provide an accurate assessment of actual behaviour.

The children were asked to demonstrate the steps practiced by them during the hand washing. it was found that majority of the children rubbed the palms of hands together (94.2%), followed by back of hands (58.6%) but the space between fingers (6.5%) and cleaning the nails (2.4%) was found to be practiced by very few children across all the study schools in Kolkata. These findings were similar to study conducted by Ray & Majumdar, 2011 and Shreshtha & Angolkar, 2015. For effective hand washing, lathering and scrubbing of hands is recommended as it creates a friction thereby removing dirt, grease and disease causing microbes from the skin. Microbes are present on all the surfaces of the hand, particularly in high concentration under the nails. Around 61% children all over Kolkata had visible dirt in their finger nails and 39% had grown nails at the time of interview. For effective rubbing of all the surfaces of hands, particularly the nails and space between the fingers for at least 20 seconds is recommended which were found to be practiced by very small percentage of children. Considering that children are more vulnerable to communicable diseases, it is important to teach children the most essential steps of hand washing.

Majority of the children across the three parts of Kolkata reportedly had access to public (75%) and private toilet (19%). This was similar to findings by Nicolson et al reported in 2014. While around 6% children were reportedly practicing open defecation. Open defecation poses a serious threat to children's health and is the leading cause of diarrhoea related mortality in children. Despite rapid economic growth and the efforts of the total sanitation campaign, around 6% children reported to defecate in open which is significant considering the urban settings. This number could be even greater considering the socially desirable responses that may have been generated. There is a need to intensify the sanitation efforts through facilitation of adequate infrastructure and behaviour change communication to accomplish the target of SDG 6 i.e. to end open defecation by 2030.

Statistically the p value is significant in the three phases of Kolkata. But on looking at the percentages closely it is seen that knowledge and practices do not vary much across the three phases of Kolkata.

CONCLUSION

Overall, absenteeism from the school due to illness was found to be very high. Further research is needed to study the implications of hand washing programme on absenteeism due to illness. The above findings also suggest that though hand washing after toilet and use of soap was mentioned by majority of the respondents, the quality of hand washing is not up to



the recommended standard. Mere infrastructure alone should not be targeted, efforts must be directed to promote hand washing behavioural change program. Through reinforcement of hand washing via advertising campaigns, creative ideas, government programmes, NGO'S, and teachers, there is a scope of improving hand washing during critical times, thus reducing forgetfulness and indirectly delivering this message to parents that will in turn take the hand washing communication to the community level. Hand washing promotion and availability of infrastructure like water, wash basin and soap should be improved both at home and school. It is challenging and need long term efforts from multi stakeholders like Government, Corporate, and NGOs.

Strengths and limitations

This study assesses the current level of hand washing knowledge and practices among school-attending children in municipal schools in Kolkata and provides evidence based findings for policy development. The results should be viewed with the necessary degree of caution associated with self-reported behaviours. Since this study was respondent driven it is possible to assume that there might be over reporting of "proper behaviour". The findings may not generalize to all the children in Kolkata as the study did not include children attending non- government schools.

REFERENCES

- 1. Sekhon H, Minhas S. A school based survey on hygiene in a rural area of northern India. International Journal of Pharma Research and Health Sciences. 2014;2(2):179-84.
- WHO. Preventing diarrhoea through better water, sanitation and hygiene: exposures and impacts in low- and middle-income countries. 2014: 1–32. Available from http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10 665/150112/1/9789241564823_eng.pdf. Accessed on 15 July 2016.
- 3. Curtis V, Danquah L, Aunger R. Planned, motivated and habitual hygiene behaviour: an eleven country review. Health Educ Res. 2009;24(4):655-73.
- 4. Rabie T, Curtis V. Handwashing and risk of respiratory infections: a quantitative systematic review. Trop Med Int Health. 2006;11(3):258-67.
- UNICEF. Pneumonia and diarrhoea. Tackling the deadliest diseases for the world's poorest population 2012. Available from: http://www.unicef.org/eapro/ Pneumonia_and_Diarrhoea_Report_2012.pdf.Acces -sed on 12 April 2016.
- 6. UNICEF. Water, Sanitation and hygiene 2012 Annual Report. 2012: 1–44.
- 7. Luby SP, Agboatwalla M, Feikin DR, Painter J, Billhimer W, Altaf A, et al. Effect of



handwashing on child health: A randomised controlled trial. Lancet. 2005;366(9481):225-33.

- 8. Ansari SY, Warbhe PA. Assessment of the Knowledge and Practice Regarding Personal Hygiene among School Children. International Journal of Current Medical And Applied Sciences. 2014;4(1):1-12.
- 9. Kadam S, Pati S, Chauhan AS. A Study on Knowledge and Practice of Hand Washing among Slum Children and their Mothers in Bhubaneswar, Odisha. Indian J Public Heal Res Dev. 2014;5(3):67-71.
- 10. Tambekar DH, Shirsat SD, Kakde SR, Ambekar KB. Hand hygiene and health : an epidemiological study of students in. Afr. J. Infect. Diseases. 2009;3:26-30.
- 11. Ramachandra T V, Bharath HA, Sowmyashree M V, Transportation S, Planning U. Urban Footprint of Mumbai the Commercial. 2014;6:71–94.
- 12. Registrar General of India. Analytical Report on Housing Amenities, Series I, Census of India, Delhi. 2001.
- 13. Pore SM, Randive SD. A study on environmental sanitation , and personal hygiene among the slum area in Solapur city , Maharashtra. Advances in Applied Science Research. 2014;5(2):98-101.
- 14. Census, 2011. Available on http://www.census2011. co.in/census/city/365-mumbai.html. Accessed on 25 February 2016.
- 15. United Nations Children's Fund. A Manual on Communication for Water supply and Environmental Sanitation programmes. 1999.
- 16. Heller L, Colosimo EA, Antunes CM de F. Environmental sanitation conditions and health impact: a case-control study. Rev Soc Bras Med Trop. 2003;36(1):41-50.
- 17. Praja Foundation. State of Municipal Education in Mumbai. 2015. Available from: http://www.praja.