International Journal in Management and Social Science
Volume 6 Issue 08, August 2018 ISSN: 2321-1784 Impact Factor: 6.178
Journal Homepage: http://ijmr.net.in, Email: irjmss@gmail.com
Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International Journal

FDI issues, Challenges and Macro and Micro facts and figures about Indian FDI Policy

KapilDev Yadav

Research Scholar, Central University of Gujarat, Gandhinagar

Indira Dutta

Professor and Dean, School of Social Sciences

Central University of Gujarat, Gandhinagar

Abstract: **The beginning of FDI in India can be taken after back to 1500 A.D. exactly when the Portuguese set up their first material unit in Calicut, trailed by the British East India Company in 1600 A.D. additionally, the Dutch East India Company in 1602 A.D. They went to our nation as sellers and later turned industrialists and some of them advanced toward getting to be rulers. Wild competition took after between these traders and industrialists from these nations till 1800 A.D. Finally, British East India Company raised effective and colonized India. Political subordination of India was the best protection for the British and MNCs' market from Japanese competition. The section of FDI into India on a business scale began in 1875 with starting investments in the field of mining, tea house, railways, insurance, age and movement of power and rebate and retail trade. In this paper we will study about the macro and micro facts and figures about the Indian FDI Policy in India.**

I. INTRODUCTION

Foreign direct investment (FDI) has assumed an imperative part during the time spent globalization amid the previous two decades. The fast extension in FDI by multinational undertakings since the mid-eighties might be ascribed to noteworthy changes in advances, more noteworthy progression of exchange and investment administrations, and deregulation and privatization of business sectors in numerous nations including creating nations like India. Capital development is a critical determinant of monetary development. While domestic investments add to the capital stock in an economy, FDI assumes an integral part in by and large capital arrangement and in filling the hole between domestic reserve funds and investment. At the large scale level, FDI is a non-obligation making wellspring of extra outer accounts. At the smaller scale level, FDI is relied upon to help yield, innovation, aptitude levels, employment and linkages with different segments and districts of the host economy [1].

II. EVOLUTION OF FDI POLICY IN INDIA

A. Foreign Direct Investment after Independence

Up to August 1947, the policy of the Government of India was one of permitting bona fide and unhindered inflow of foreign capital due to political dependence. After flexibility the Government of India's policy as to foreign capital was characterized, all of a sudden, in the Industrial Policy Resolution of 6 April 1948. The organization saw collaboration of foreign capital and undertaking, especially as regards to industrial framework and data for speedy industrialization of the economy.

The role of private foreign capital in India in the midst of the period since opportunity has been to support the buoy towards syndication and centralization of money related power in the hands of the few. There were no imprisonments on the 100 for every penny obligation regarding reinforcements; anyway the specialists connected taught weight on foreign associations to pitch some bit of their equity to close-by investors. The policy condition was sufficiently impulsive to dispirit new competitors into India. In this way from the earliest starting point of Independence period, push was on manufacturing division. Till today, a comparable territory is an explanation behind stress for our nation to the degree exports from this fragment is concerned and more FDI ought to be attracted in this division which is discussed in detail in Chapter 5 i.e., Sectoral FDI in India.

It is furthermore observed that private foreign capital, especially of the direct equity create, has a general affinity to keep up a strategic separation from portions, for instance, agribusiness, open utilities, social overheads, and to go into simply lucrative endeavors. This isn't astonishing in light of the fact that considerations, for instance, advantage inspirations and charge and import driving forces are all things considered truant on account of divisions like cultivating, open utilities, and social overheads. Another inspiration driving why private capital isn't attracted to these divisions is the fact that an extensive bit of the errands in these parts have about long development, the sitting tight period being unreasonably for private investors to hold up under, low level of productivity, and defenselessness of activities [2].

B. FDI Policy in the midst of 1960-1980s

Amid this period, the FDI policy of India was more restrictive due to the need to make adjacent endeavors. In 1973, the Foreign Exchange Regulation Act (FERA) came into constrain, requiring each and every foreign company working in India, with up to 40 for each penny equity, to enroll under Indian corporate enactment. Government began the accompanying measures, for instance,

- No FDI was allowed without exchange of development.
- Renewals of foreign collaborations were restricted.
- Foreign Exchange Regulation Act, 1973 was restricted to FDI in certain middle or high need organizations.
- Equity collaboration was restricted to 40 for every penny.

The policy essentially went for holding bigger part domestic proprietorship and powerful control in foreign endeavors and thusly was characterized by a careful welcome to foreign investments. For advancement exchange and greatness portion, a specific allowing organization was taken after. Specialized collaborations were took into account import substitution, advancement up gradation, and for convey arranged undertakings. Foreign collaborations were bolstered in allotted anchored organizations which included medicines and pharmaceutical, aluminum, overpowering electrical undertakings, fertilizers, machine gadgets and wide concessions and cost purposes of intrigue were offered to attract multinational associations.

Thusly, in this stage, government was exploring different avenues regarding the outcomes of FDI on various sections recollecting the future and growth prospects of indigenous associations. Development case of India in the midst of the underlying three decades (1950-1980) in the wake of accomplishing opportunity in 1947 was featured by strong brought together palming, government duty regarding and scratch organizations, nonsensical regulation and control of private undertaking, trade protectionism through assessment and non-impose impediments and a cautious and particular approach towards foreign capital [3].

It was a sum, permit, and allow organization the separation and was guided and controlled by an association that was set up in outskirts style. This gathered inward-looking, import substitution strategy of money related development began to be comprehensively tended to with the begriming of 1980s. Policy makers started understanding the drawbacks of this strategy which subdued force and productivity and conveyed a much lower rate of growth than foreseen. FDI policy in this period was not convey masterminded and was restrictive in nature, which couldn't realize making equality of portion condition extraordinary. Along these lines, measure was taken as a bit of helper adjustment program to make our economy more liberal and models for FDI was furthermore changed.

C. FDI Policy from 1980s to 1990s

In the 1980s, as a bit of the industrial policy objectives, the perspective towards FDI was changed. In any case, inward looking regulatory organization continued until the mid 1980s. This period was the season of opening up and nonstop progression. Regardless, through the new money related policy and the new industrial policy of 1991, a progression of policy measures were reported to change the FDI condition in the nation and methodologies towards foreign multinationals were definitely rethought. Rules and technique regarding settlement of benefits, dividends, and royalties were free. A snappy channel was set up for helping clearances of FDI proposals.

Government displayed a progression of measures through 1985-industrial policy, to decrease control on undertakings, especially gigantic ones. These measures depicted as New Economic Policy, related with the policy structure of the Seventh Five-Year Plan (1985-1990). The strategy of fiscal changes began in 1985 got a noteworthy lift after the assertion of another industrial policy on 24"^ July 1991. The new policy went for centered and market arranged economy rather than the controlled and anchored economy.

D. FDI Policy since 1991

In July 1991, the first changes made supportive condition for foreign investment in India. This actually started the methodology of headway of FDI policy. One of the measures endeavored was that foreign investment and development collaboration was welcome to secure higher advancement, to construct exports and to broaden the production base. Various concessions

International Journal in Management and Social Science
Volume 6 Issue 08, August 2018 ISSN: 2321-1784 Impact Factor: 6.178
Journal Homepage: http://ijmr.net.in, Email: irjmss@gmail.com
Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International Journal

were announced for foreign equity capital in 1991-1992. The foreign equity capital most extreme was raised to 51 for each penny. FDI was allowed in examination, production, refining of oil and marketing of gas. NRIs and Overseas Corporate Bodies were allowed to put 100 for each less equity in high need regions and furthermore in convey houses, lodgings and tourism related endeavors [4].

The hugest progress was substitution of Foreign Exchange Regulation Act (FERA), 1973 with Foreign Exchange Management Act, 1999 (FEMA). The topic of the Act is to join together and rectify the law relating to foreign exchange with the objective of empowering external trade and portions and for propelling the proficient development and support of foreign exchange market in India.

Allowing was wiped out, and firms in everything aside from several sectors were allowed to start activities without government underwriting. The impact of de-approving was most evident in sectors like steel, autos, FMCG and buyer electronics which saw a surge in entry of new firms. Modified course for FDI is permitted. Except for certain predefined activities, no prior underwriting from exchange control specialists i.e., Reserve Bank of India is required. Various new sectors were throvra open for FDI. For inspiration driving policy analysis, period from 1991 onwards has been bifurcated into four phases, viz., 1991-1996,1997-1998, 1999-2001, and 2001 onwards [5].

II. TRENDS IN FDI POLICY

An essential factor which added to the ascent in FDI streams to creating nations has been the pattern towards FDI-accommodating policies. As indicated by UNCTAD (2003) in the 70 nations that changed their FDI policies in 2002, 236 of 248 regulations were valuable to FDI. Creating nations have regularly been exhorted and upheld by giver nations and international foundations. The World Development Report 2005 found that 26% of all development help somewhere in the range of 1998 and 2002 went to investment atmosphere enhancements, for the most part framework development. At the national level creating nations have:

- Opened up already shut sectors for foreign investment, frequently as a restriction for World Bank or IMF advances.
- Implemented an extensive variety of policy measures and laws that make it productive for foreign investors, (for example, impose occasions, duty exceptions on imports or exports, free exchange of capital, working of foundation), upheld by contributor motivating forces, for example, the World Bank's hazard relief frameworks (e.g. MIGA and IFC).
- Created a great business atmosphere, for example, laws that ensure (scholarly) property rights, and shirking of formality and long or corrupt court cases.
- Set up investment promotion and bolster offices, joined by benefactor projects, for example, the EU-SADC Investment Promotion Program.
- Regularly checked on and restored motivating forces and regulations for investors, frequently in view of instruct with respect to the Foreign Investment Advisory Service (FIAS) of the World Bank, UNCTAD Investment Policy Reviews, and the OECD 'Policy Framework on Investment'.

At the international level, creating nations have consented to various arrangements for foreign investors, including:

- Free trade agreements and provincial investment arrangements and (241 altogether in 2006), which change investment and kill confinements on foreign investor tasks, for example, the General Agreement on Trade in Services (GATS) as a component of the World Trade Organization (WTO), and the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA).
- The WTO's Agreement on Trade-Related Investment Measures (TRIMs), which denies regulations, for example, nearby substance necessities and confinements on imports and exports by investors.
- Bilateral Investment Treaties (BITs) which secure investors against government measures that could harm their interests, including by utilizing an international board for the settlement of investment debate (ICSID). By 2006 2,573 BITs have been marked, generally including creating nations.
- Double impose bargains (2651 altogether in 2006) that guarantee that foreign investors are n ot burdened both by the host and the nation of origin.

International Journal in Management and Social Science
Volume 6 Issue 08, August 2018 ISSN: 2321-1784 Impact Factor: 6.178
Journal Homepage: http://ijmr.net.in, Email: irjmss@gmail.com
Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International Journal

The greater part of the investment promotion components and the investment amicable regulations or arrangements depends on the presumption that foreign investors should be attracted through measures that secure them or furnish them with money related benefits. Not very many to any instruments or criteria have been worked in any of the previously mentioned instruments to evaluate what the impact is on monetary development, social development, the earth, and the welfare of the partners, for example, the labor states of the workers [6].

III. FDI ISSUES, CHALLENGES AND OUTLINE IN INDIA

FDI gives a win – win circumstance to the host and the nations of origin. In fact, both the nations are directly worried in welcoming increasingly FDI, as they advantage a considerable measure from these kinds of investments. The 'home' nations have the benefit of the huge markets opened by industrial growth. On the opposite side, the 'host' nations are having the preferred standpoint to procure mechanical and administrative skills and help domestic funds and foreign exchange. Accordingly, Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) is currently been an imperative driver of growth. Many Emerging Market Economies (EMEs) are viewing FDI as one of the simplest intends to fulfill and satisfy their budgetary, specialized, work age and aggressive proficiency necessities. Step by step they likewise understood that generous financial growth is inescapable without worldwide mix of business process. This made open doors for locational focal points and along these lines encouraged strategic coalitions, joint endeavors and collaborations over R and D [7].

Prior to this, the chronicled foundation of FDI in India can be followed back with the foundation of East India Company of Britain. British capital came to India amid the frontier period of Britain in India. Notwithstanding, scientists couldn't depict the entire history of FDI pouring in India because of absence of copious and true data. Prior to freedom, real measure of FDI originated from the British organizations. After Second World War, Japanese organizations entered Indian market and upgraded their trade with India, yet U.K. remained the most overwhelming investor in India. Further, after Independence issues identifying with foreign capital, activities of MNCs, picked up consideration of the policy creators. Remembering the national interests the policy producers composed the FDI policy which points FDI as a medium for obtaining trend setting innovation and to activate foreign exchange resources. The principal Prime Minister of India thought about foreign investment as "essential" to supplement domestic capital as well as to anchor logical, specialized, and industrial learning and capital gear. Be that as it may, the nation confronted two extreme emergencies as foreign exchange and money related asset assembly amid the second five-year plan. Therefore, the administration embraced a liberal state of mind by enabling more successive equity interest to foreign endeavors, and to acknowledge equity capital in specialized collaborations. With time and according to monetary and political administrations there have been changes in the FDI policy too. The industrial policy of 1965, enabled MNCs to wander through specialized collaboration in India. The legislature additionally gave numerous motivators, for example, charge concessions, rearrangements of permitting systems and de-holding a few businesses, for example, drugs, aluminum, substantial electrical hardware, manures, and so on keeping in mind the end goal to additionally help the FDI inflows in the nation. This liberal state of mind of government towards foreign capital baits investors from other propelled nations like USA, Japan, and Germany, and so on. However, because of huge outpouring of foreign reserves as settlements of dividends, benefits, royalties and so on., the administration needed to receive stringent foreign policy in 1970s. Amid this period the administration received a specific and profoundly prohibitive foreign policy to the extent foreign capital, kind of FDI and proprietorships of foreign organizations was concerned. The world economy has watched a sensational change in volume and example of FDI spill out of created nations to EMEs in 1990s contrasted with before decades. The threatening state of mind of creating nations with respect to multinationals investment has turned out to be liberal amid this progress period. FDI was cultivated by progression and market-based reforms in EMEs. The monetary part deregulation and reforms in the industrial policy additionally made ready for worldwide investments. Probably the most remarkable developments amid the most recent 20 years is the marvelous growth of FDI in the worldwide financial arena. Starting from a benchmark of not as much as USD 1 billion out of 1990, an ongoing UNCTAD review anticipated India as the second most vital FDI destination (after China) for transnational partnerships amid 2010-2012. According to the data, the sectors which attracted higher inflows were administrations, telecommunication, development activities and PC software and hardware. Mauritius, Singapore, the

US and the UK were among the main wellsprings of FDI to the country. In 2013, the administration loosened up FDI standards in a few sectors, including telecom, defence, PSU oil refineries, power exchanges and stock exchanges, among others. In retail, UK-based Tesco presented its application to at first contribute US$ 110 million to begin a supermarket chain in collaboration with Tata Group's Trent. In common flying, Malaysia-based Air Asia and Singapore Airlines collaborated with Tata Group to dispatch two new carrier administrations. Likewise, Abu Dhabi-based Etihad grabbed a 24 for each penny stake in Jet Airways that was worth over Rs 2, 000 crores (US$ 319.39 million).Currently, while foreign portfolio investments to India are abating, net foreign direct investment (FDI) inflows, which are undeniably steady, have contacted a record high of $34.9 billion out of 2014-15. In fact, net FDI inflows contacted 1.7% of gross domestic product (GDP) in the simply finished financial year, up from 1.1% of GDP the earlier year. Foreign investment inflows to India are prevalently to foundation, primarily telecom, oil and gas, mining sectors, and also the administrations sector. Higher FDI flows are useful for India's current account deficiency and furthermore help drive domestic investments. With the administration opening up different sectors, for example, protection and safeguard, these steady flows may proceed with this year also [8].

A. Current Trends &Issues

India got $19.78 billion (Rs 1.3 lakh crore) FDI in 2014-15 from twelve noteworthy FDI source nations that Prime Minister Mr. Modi has visited since assuming control in May a year ago. This accounts for the greater part of the $34.93 billion FDI the nation got in the monetary year, which was 27 for each penny more than the prior year. FDI became speedier after the dispatch of the Make in India program in September 2014 — inflows hopped 48 for each penny between October 2014 and April 2015 throughout the year-sooner period, data from the Department of Industrial Policy and Planning appeared. The ex-guide of confederation of Indian businesses (CII) Tarun Das said in regards to the "Modi govt. what's more, current patterns and issues of FDI" – "Modi has possessed the capacity to integrate foreign policy with monetary and corporate policies. India is emerging as the everybody's indisputable favorite as different BRICS economies are seeing downslide; a major change has been gotten the approach towards foreign investors by the PM choosing to meet individual CEOs of worldwide business mammoths. At the point when domestic investments are compelled and Indian banks have enormous NPAs, FDI is critical to boosting economy.»Among the nations that Modi visited, Japan has resolved to put about $35 billion out of five years and South Korea about $10 billion. China has guaranteed $20 billion in the following five years, while France has declared $2 billion Euros ($2.26 billion). The UAE has guaranteed to direct in cash for India's $75-billion framework finance. The UK has propelled a program for investments here in front of Modi's arranged excursion in November and Germany has likewise made declarations identified with the Make in India activity amid Chancellor Angela Merkel's visit to New Delhi in the primary seven day stretch of October.

"There have been a few inquiries regarding the Modi government's execution with regards to pace of reforms and simplicity of working together," a foreign ambassador in Delhi said. However, an administration official from Japan, one of the nations that Modi visited and from where he got a gigantic investment responsibility, said that there was no significant motivation to be disturbed about since Modi turned into the PM.

B. Prospects& future standpoint

India is assessed to require around US$ 1 trillion amid the twelfth Five-Year Plan period (2012– 17), to subsidize framework in sectors, for example, streets, airplane terminals and ports. The legislature is changing FDI standards in development activities and railroads, which could acquire investments to meet the objective. The legislature is additionally loosening up FDI standards in different sectors for foreign investors to contribute. FDI in multi-brand retail has been permitted up to 51 for every penny. The base prerequisite for the FDI is US$ 100 million, of which no less than 50 for each penny must be put resources into 'backend framework' inside three years following the commencement of the FDI. FDI confine in single-brand retail has been expanded to 100 for every penny; 49 for each penny will be under the programmed course and the rest through the (Foreign Investment Promotion Board) FIPB route. Except that, alterations in Indian FDI policy as of late opened a no. of key business sectors to expanded foreign investment and in a few occasions, wiped out the requirement for foreign investors to get endorsement from the Indian Govt. prior to investing. These progressions impacted FDI drifts in India.Ultimately the current administration and the future policies that are proclaimed to be received soon, will raise "Great DAYS" for FDI in India also.

C. Importance and Barriers to FDI

An expansion in FDI might be related with enhanced monetary growth because of the deluge of capital and expanded duty revenues for the host nation. Host nations frequently attempt to channel FDI investment into new foundation and different ventures to support development. More noteworthy rivalry from new organizations can prompt productivity gains and more noteworthy proficiency in the host nation and it has been recommended that the utilization of a foreign element's policies to a domestic backup may enhance corporate administration gauges. Moreover, foreign investment can result in the exchange of delicate skills through preparing and occupation creation, the accessibility of further developed technology for the domestic market and access to innovative work resources. The neighborhood populace might have the capacity to profit by the work openings made by new organizations [9].

IV. MACRO AND MICRO FACTS of FDI

In India FDI inflow made its entrance amid the year 1991-92 with the mean to unite the planned investment and the real funds of the nation. To seek after a development of around 7 percent in the Gross Domestic Product of India, the net capital streams should increment by no less than 28 to 30 percent in general. Yet, the investment funds of the nation stood just at 24 percent. The hole framed between expected investment and the real reserve funds of the nation was lifted up by portfolio investments by Foreign Institutional Investors, advances by foreign banks and different spots, and foreign direct investments. Among these three types of financial help, India favors and also has the greatest measure of Foreign Direct Investments. Subsequently FDI is considered as a developmental device for development and development of the nation. In this manner, this investigation is attempted to break down the stream of FDI into the nation recognizing the different arrangement of elements which decide the stream of FDI [10].

The FDI inflows into India have gone up particularly in the post-change period. The offer of FDI inflows to India isn't noteworthy when it is contrasted with other creating economies. Be that as it may, India is a rival in the market for FDI inflows with the other creating nations. In this specific circumstance, it is relevant to evaluate the deciding powers of the FDI inflows into India in order to take policy activity to make an ideal environment for FDI. Accordingly, the present area attempts to investigate the deciding components of FDI inflows into India at the full scale level and the elements are known as the draw elements of FDI inflows.

Macroeconomic pointers of an economy are considered as the significant draw variables of FDI inflows to a nation. The investigation of different hypothetical justification and existing writing gives a base in picking the correct mix of factors that clarifies the varieties in the streams of FDI in the nation. Keeping in mind the end goal to have the best blend of factors for the determinants of FDI inflows into India, diverse elective mix of factors were distinguished and after that assessed. The elective blends of factors incorporated into the investigation are tuned in to the acclaimed details given by United Nations Conference on Trade and Development, (UNCTAD 2007).

The investigation applies the different relapse strategy to see if the factors impact the stream of the FDI in to the nation. After intensive investigation of the distinctive blend of the factors, the present examination incorporates the accompanying macroeconomic markers: Gross Domestic Product at Factor Cost (GDP), Coal Production (COAL), Wages paid (WAGE), Electricity generated (ELEC), Inflation (INFL), Deficit in Balance of Payment (DEFICIT) and Trade Openess (OPEN), as autonomous factors which impact the stream of FDI into the nation. These macroeconomic pointers are considered as the draw elements of FDI inflows in the nation. In this manner, the central determinants of FDI inflows are placed in the equation as takes after:

$$FDIt = a + b1GDPt + b2COALt + b3WAGEt + b4ELECt + b5INFLt + b6DEFICITt + b7OPENt + e$$

Where,

FDI = Foreign Direct Investment net inflows measured as BOP current Rs. in crores

GDP = Gross Domestic Product at Factor Cost measured Rs. in crores

COAL = Coal Production measured in Million tonnes

WAGE = Total emoluments paid to the workers measured in terms of Rs. in crores

ELEC = Electricity Generated measured in billion Kwh

INFL = Inflation measured in terms of percentages

DEFICIT = Deficit in Balance of Payment position measured in

OPEN = Trade Openness i.e., sum of Exports + Imports divided by GDP {(Ex+Im) / GDP} measured in terms of Rs. in crores

T = time frame

While FDI may profit the economy at both macroeconomic and microeconomic levels, it is similarly essential to test whether individuals in the rustic and rural areas get influenced through such advantages. FDI in moderately work serious segments including sustenance handling, materials and readymade pieces of clothing, calfskin and cowhide products, and light machine devices, with plants set up in little urban communities near country and rural areas, would have a tendency to have generally high employment-creating potential.

At the miniaturized scale level, FDI is relied upon to support yield, innovation, ability levels, employment and linkages with different segments and areas of the host economy. At this level, the impacts of FDI should be examined for changes that may happen at the segment level yield, employment and forward and in reverse linkages with different parts of the economy. There are fears that foreign firms may uproot domestic syndications, and supplant these with foreign imposing business models which may, truth be told, make more terrible conditions for customers. In this manner, it is imperative to have an effective rivalry policy alongside area controllers set up.

V. CONCLUSION

Most standard research has been attempted to distinguish the monetary impact of FDI in creating nations, for the most part focusing on the impact on financial growth and productivity through learning overflows. The exact proof accessible gives blended outcomes and proposes that overflows don't come naturally or 'for nothing', yet rather recommend that what is required is active government mediation to 'catch' the benefits, and a specific 'absorptive limit' at the company and nation level. Up until this point, just extremely constrained deliberate research on the social and natural outcomes has been embraced, and the aftereffects of this examination are likewise blended. Development must be encouraged by foreign investment when the correct policies are set up. Investment settlements and investment promotion activities ought not be univocally directed at investment liberalization and assurance, yet made with particular social, monetary and natural development focuses at the top of the priority list that should be frequently evaluated and audited. Moreover, governments ought to hold (in trade and investment agreements) flexibility of regulation and policy, especially to accomplish poverty destruction, technology exchange, regard for human rights and ecological assurance. Where authorization of national labor and ecological laws is missing, and international norms are not regarded, international activities to guarantee requirement by TNCs ought to wind up some portion of investment promotion components. At long last, genuine economical impact assessments that investigate the numerous parts of FDI ought to reliably be connected.

REFERENCES

1. Kamalakanthan, Abby and Laurenceson, James (2005) How Important is Foreign Capital to Income Growth in China and India?
2. Kathuria V. (2002), “Liberalisation, FDI, and productivity spillovers—an analysis of Indian manufacturing firms”
3. Kemp, M.C. (1964). The Theory of International Trade. Prentice Hall, London.
4. Kindleberger, C.P. (1969). American Business Abroad. Yale University Press, New Haven, CT, United States.
5. Knickerbocker, F.T. (1973). “Oligopolistic reaction and multinational enterprise”, Division of Research, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, United States.
6. Kumar G. L., Karthika S. (2010) “Sectoral Performance Through Inflows of Foreign Direct Investment (FDI)”
7. Kumar N. (2007), “Emerging TNCs: trends, patterns and determinants of outward FDI by Indian enterprises”
8. Lemafalussy, A. (1961). Investment and Growth in Mature Economies. Basil Blackwell and Mott, Oxford, United Kingdom.
9. Lucas, R. (1988). On the Mechanics of Economic Development. *Journal of Monetary Economics*, Vol. 22, pp.3-42
10. MacDougall, G.D.A. (1958). “The benefits and cost of private foreign investment abroad: A theoretical approach”, Economic Record, vol. 36